# Part II: The Fancy Stuff in Real (Fast) Machines

# Pipelining in Today's Most Advanced Processors

- Not fundamentally different than the techniques we discussed
- Deeper pipelines
- Pipelining is combined with
  - superscalar execution
  - out-of-order execution
  - VLIW (very-long-instruction-word)



# Deeper Pipelines

- Power 4




- Pentium 3
- Pentium 4




where are branches resolved?

Pentium 4 "Prescott"
- Deeper still: 31 stages!
- Planned for up to 5 GHz operation! (scrapped)

# Superscalar Execution






# What can this do in parallel?




| Selection | |
|---|---|
| A | Any two instructions |
| B | Any two *independent* instructions |
| C | An arithmetic instruction and a memory instruction |
| D | Any instruction and a memory instruction |
| E | None of the above |

# A modest superscalar MIPS




- what can this machine do in parallel?
- what other logic is required?
- Represents earliest superscalar technology (eg, circa early 1990s)

# Superscalar Execution

- To execute four instructions in the same cycle, we must find four independent instructions

# Superscalar Execution

- To execute four instructions in the same cycle, we must find four independent instructions
- If the four instructions fetched are *guaranteed by the compiler* to be independent, this is a *VLIW* machine



# Superscalar Execution

- To execute four instructions in the same cycle, we must find four independent instructions
- If the four instructions fetched are guaranteed by the compiler to be independent, this is a *VLIW* machine
- If the four instructions fetched are only executed together if hardware confirms that they are independent, this is an *in-order superscalar* processor.

# Superscalar Execution

- To execute four instructions in the same cycle, we must find four independent instructions
- If the four instructions fetched are guaranteed by the compiler to be independent, this is a *VLIW* machine
- If the four instructions fetched are only executed together if hardware confirms that they are independent, this is an *in-order superscalar* processor.
- If the hardware actively finds four (not necessarily consecutive) instructions that are independent, this is an *out-of-order superscalar* processor.

# Superscalar Execution

- To execute four instructions in the same cycle, we must find four independent instructions
- If the four instructions fetched are guaranteed by the compiler to be independent, this is a *VLIW* machine
- If the four instructions fetched are only executed together if hardware confirms that they are independent, this is an *in-order superscalar* processor.
- If the hardware actively finds four (not necessarily consecutive) instructions that are independent, this is an *out-of-order superscalar* processor.
- What do you think are the tradeoffs?



# Superscalar Scheduling

- Assume in-order, 2-issue, ld-store followed by integer

```
lw   $6,   36($2)
add  $5,   $6, $4
lw   $7, 1000($5)
sub  $9, $12, $5
```

- Assume 4-issue, in-order, any combination (VLIW?)

```
lw   $6,   36($2)
add  $5,   $6, $4
lw   $7, 1000($5)
sub  $9, $12, $5
sw   $5,  200($6)
add  $3,   $9, $9
and $11,   $7, $6
```

- When does each instruction begin execution?



# Superscalar vs. superpipelined



(multiple instructions in the same stage, same clock rate as scalar)



(more total stages, faster clock rate)

# Dynamic Scheduling
# *aka*, Out-of-Order Scheduling





- Issues (begins execution of) an instruction as soon as all of its dependences are satisfied, even if prior instructions are stalled. (assume 2-issue, any combination)

```
lw   $6,   36($2)
add  $5,   $6,  $4
lw   $7,  1000($5)
sub  $9,  $12,  $8
sw   $5,   200($6)
add  $3,   $9,  $9
and $11,   $5,  $6
```

no dep's




TAKEAWAY
Pause inst's as/deps. so that you can work on inst's with no dep's

# Reservation Stations

## (other pieces: ROB, RAT, RRAT.. CSE 148 covers these!)




- Are a mechanism to allow dynamic scheduling (out of order execution)



# Pentium 4


System Bus
256-bit wide Core clock
Instruction TLB
Dynamic Branch Predictor: 4096 entries
Instruction Decoder
Bus Interface Unit - 64-bit 100 MHz Quad Pumped 3.2 GB/s
Micro Code ROM / Micro Instruction Sequencer
Execution Trace Cache 12,000 µOPs
Trace Cache Branch Prediction 4096 entry BTB
Allocate Resources / Rename Registers
Integer/Floating Point µOP Queue
Memory µOP Queue
Integer Schedulers
Slow Int
Fast Int
Fast Int
Memory
Floating Point Schedulers
FP Gen
FP Mem
Integer Register File
Bypass Network
Floating Point Register File
L2 Cache - L2 Cache Control Unit
Slow ALU
Complex Inst.
2xALU
Simple Instr.
2xALU
Simple Instr.
2xAGU
Store Address Unit
2xAGU
Load Address Unit
FP Store
FP Move
FMul
FAdd
SSE/
SSE2
MMX
256-bit wide, Core Clock
L1 Data Cache 8 KByte, 4-way
dual ported
Hardware Data Prefetch

# Modern (Pre-Multicore) Processors

- Pentium II, III – 3-wide superscalar, out-of-order, 14 integer pipeline stages
- Pentium 4 – 3-wide superscalar, out-of-order, simultaneous multithreading, 20+ pipe stages
- AMD Athlon, 3-wide ss, out-of-order, 10 integer pipe stages
- AMD Opteron, similar to Athlon, with 64-bit registers, 12 pipe stages, better multiprocessor support.
- Alpha 21164 – 2-wide ss, in-order, 7 pipe stages
- Alpha 21264 – 4-wide ss, out-of-order, 7 pipe stages
- Intel Itanium – 3-operation VLIW, 2-instruction issue (6 ops per cycle), in-order, 10-stage pipeline

# More Recent Developments – Multicore Processors

- IBM Power 4, 5, 6, 7
  - Power 4 dual core
  - Power 5 and 6, dual core, 2 simultaneous multithreading (SMT) threads/core
  - Power7 4-8 cores, 4 SMT threads per core
- Sun Niagara
  - 8 cores, 4 threads/core (32 threads).
  - Simple, in-order, scalar cores.
- Sun Niagara 2
  - 8 cores, 8 threads/core.
- Intel Quad Core Xeon
- AMD Quad Core Opteron
- Intel Nehalem, Ivy Bridge, Sandy Bridge, Haswell, Skylake, …(Core i3, i5, i7, etc.)
  - 2 to 8 cores, each core SMT (2 threads)
- AMD Phenom II
  - 6 cores, not multithreaded
- AMD Zen
  - 4-8 (mainstream, but up to 32) cores, 2 SMT threads/core, superscalar (6 micro-op/cycle)

# Intel SkyLake

- Up to 4 cores (CPUs)
- Each core can have 224 uncommitted instructions in the pipeline
    - Up to 72 loads
    - Up to 56 stores
  - 97 unexecuted instructions in the pipeline waiting to be scheduled
  - Has 180 physical integer registers (used via register renaming)
  - Has 168 physical floating point registers
  - Executes up to 4 (?) micro-ops/cycle (think RISC instructions)
  - Has a 16-cycle branch hazard

- (note—Intel now hiding more and more architectural details)

# Intel SkyLake




*Figure 1: Architecture components layout for an Intel® Core™ i7 processor 6700K for desktop systems. This SoC contains 4 CPU cores, outlined in blue dashed boxes. Outlined in the red dashed box, is an Intel® HD Graphics 530. It is a one-slice instantiation of Intel processor graphics gen9 architecture.*

# What do we know about the Apple M1? We can learn from the A14 (the M1 *may* be a rebranded, lightly enhanced A14)




This part implies they must be doing something different

This part makes a lot of sense for a new player

# (Really this section should be what does Andrei Frumusanu know about the M1 – the AnandTech writeup is pretty good)




- 12 MB L2 cache [this is huge]
  - C.f. Intel Tiger Lake @ 1.25*4 = 5MB
  - C.f. Intel Cooper Lake @ 1*28 = 28MB
    - For $13,000
- Massive ILP
  - 8-wide instruction issue [SMT actual unclear]
  - C.f. Intel's 1+4 [CISC limitation??]
  - C.f. Samsung 6-wide [also ARM]
- Truly massive OoO window
  - ~630 instructions in flight??
  - C.f. Intel Willow Cove at 352
  - C.f. AMD Zen3 at 256

Much more here: https://www.anandtech.com/show/16226/apple-silicon-m1-a14-deep-dive/2

# The Internet's Educated Guess




ANANDTECH

# Part III: The Less Fancy Stuff in Real (Low-Power) Machines

- *How much of a real processor can we implement with CSE 141 alone?*

# Acorn/Advanced RISC Machine (ARM) has three processor families

- Cortex A – "Application" processors
- Cortex R – "Real-Time" processors
- Cortex M – "Microcontroller" processors
  - (get it?)

# The Cortex-M family exposes a wide tradeoff of capability and cost – measured mostly in $$, Joules, and die area




Floating Point

DSP (SIMD, fast MAC)

Advanced data processing
bit field manipulations

General data processing
I/O control tasks

# Let's look at the ARM Cortex-M3 in depth

- ISA: "Thumb2", specifically ARMv7-M
  - Mixed 16/32-bit instructions ["hybrid length" instructions]
  - Compromise: many instructions can be compact, why waste bits? Still simple (just two cases)
- 3 stage, in-order, single issue pipeline
  - With single-cycle hardware multiply!
- It has a branch predictor…
  - It predicts Not Taken!
  - 2 cycle mis-predict penalty
- It has a 3-word prefetcher
  - Prefetchers help make unified memory designs fast
  - Q: How many instructions can prefetcher hold?

3 – 6 inst's



# Implications of being area and energy constrained

- Performance / Watt >> than raw Performance
  - Latest designs are 22 µA/MHz (this is the measure that matters for IoT!)
- Fewer general purpose registers (There are 16)
  - Many of the smaller (16-bit) encodings can only access r0-r7
- Much slower core frequency (many in the 1-8 MHz, fastest M3's 48 or maybe 96 MHz)
- Much simpler microarchitecture
  - In-order design
  - Limited parallelism
- Tightly coupled memory -- No cache!
  - (well, a 3 word instruction cache)
  - Just 1 cycle memory access penalty! (i.e. `ldr` instruction takes 2 cycles, with no cache!)
  - *VERY* different than traditional processors
- Q: How might Amdahl's law explain tradeoffs in embedded MCUs?
  - Embedded processors are *duty cycled*, modern ones run ~0.1% of the time
  - In embedded: Compute is not the bottleneck! New arch tradeoff opportunity!

# How is ML at the edge changing the edge?

- Hot new chip: MAX78000
  - 22 uA/MHz Cortex-M4
  - + RISC-V Co-Processor
  - + CNN accelerator
  - + *many* peripherals

In this whole chip, this part is the processor



# There are many, many more deeply embedded processors than high performance general purpose processors

- 0% of processors in the world are "high-performance" processors
  - Seriously, the number of Intel Core XXX and AMD XXX are a *rounding error* compared to AVR, MIPS (yes, our MIPS), PIC, ARM Cortex M's, etc
- So why do we talk about the fancy machines?
  - Thought experiment: Which gives you the most aggregate processing power:
  - (Very) Coarse estimate: 1 trillion PIC-8's in the world
    - Say, average 50 MHz, CPI of ~20 [for 32-bit math]
  - (Very) Coarse estimate: 120 billion ARM Cortex-M's in the world
    - Say, average 24 MHz, CPI of ~1.25
  - (Very) Coarse estimate: 1 billion Intel Core i7's in the world
    - Say, average 4 GHz, CPI of ~0.25

# Advanced Pipelining -- Key Points

- Exceptions are another form of control flow
  - An "unexpected branch" or "unprogrammed branch" perhaps
- Scalar [fancy word for non-parallel] pipelining attempts to get CPI close to 1. To improve performance we must reduce cycle time (superpipelining) or get CPI below 1 (superscalar, VLIW).
  - What are the costs / problems of pipelining too deeply? When does better CT no longer improve ET?
- Modern processors are fast because they work on *many hundred* instructions at once
- Simple pipelines are valuable when raw performance is less important
  - Specialization can be more efficient, but only if you know workload!