CSE 291: Wireless and Communication in the Internet of Things
Pretty PHY for a WiFi

Pat Pannuto, UC San Diego

ppannuto@ucsd.edu

Today's Goals

- WiFi Physical Layer

Outline

- WiFi Overview

- WiFi PHY
  - 802.11/802.11b
  - 802.11a/802.11g
  - 802.11n/802.11ac
 - "WiFi 6" (ax)
  - Read-World WiFi

What is WiFi?

- Most successful wireless protocol (family)
- Small Area (~20m), high performance (up to 9,600 Mbit/s)
- ~30 years young
  - We'll do some history
  - Note the parallels in technology development
    - First: Maximize the performance of a single channel
    - Now: Improve performance through parallelism (more channels working together)

802.11 timeline

- 1985 – US FCC rules ISM band for unlicensed use
- 1990s – WaveLAN (NCR Corporation, Netherlands)
  - Wireless ethernet for cashier systems
- 1997 – 802.11 specification
- 1999 – 802.11b and 802.11a amendments
- 1999 – WiFi Alliance formed for certification of devices
- 1999 – Apple iBook is the first consumer WiFi product

Major amendments

	Protocol	Year	Frequency	PHY	Max Rate	Range
-	802.11	1997	2.4 GHz	DSSS/FHSS	2 Mbps	20 m
1	802.11b	1999	2.4 GHz	DSSS	11 Mbps	35 m
2	802.11a	1999	5 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	35 m
3	802.11g	2003	2.4 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	38 m
4	802.11n	2009	2.4/5 GHz	OFDM + MIMO	600 Mbps	70 m
5	802.11ac	2013	5 GHz	OFDM + MU-MIMO [downlink only]	3.4 Gbps	35 m
6	802.11.ax	2021	2.5/5 [/6] GHz	OFDMA + MU-MIMO	9.6 Gbps	35 m

- 802.11b was very popular but is now usually unsupported
- 802.11a never saw major deployment

- WiFi Alliance rebranded 802.11ac as "WiFi 5" and backported scheme

Resources

- Peter Steenkiste – Carnegie Mellon University
  - https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~prs/wirelessS18/handouts/L11-AdHoc.pdf
  - https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~prs/wirelessS18/handouts/L12-LAN.pdf

- Raj Jain – Washington University in Saint Louis
  - https://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse574-14/ftp/j_05lan.pdf
  - https://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse574-14/ftp/j_06lan.pdf

- Qualcomm
  - https://www.qualcomm.com/media/documents/files/802-11ac-mu-mimo-bridging-the-mimo-gap-in-wi-fi.pdf

- Honestly
  - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IEEE_802.11

Outline

- WiFi Overview

- **WiFi PHY**
  - 802.11/802.11b
  - 802.11a/802.11g
  - 802.11n/802.11ac
  - "WiFi 6" (ax)
  - Read-World WiFi

WiFi Physical Layer

- Details start to get pretty messy here for multiple reasons:

1. Different countries/regions have different standards
   - Channels look a little different in different areas

2. WiFi has evolved over the last 20 years
   - Different features are designed for different amendments

3. WiFi is focused on improving throughput
   - Solutions that were initially "too complicated" no longer are

Goal: improve throughput

- In twenty years, WiFi has gone from 2 Mbps to 9.6 Gbps
- How does a network improve its throughput?

Goal: improve throughput

- In twenty years, WiFi has gone from 2 Mbps to 3 Gbps
- **How does a network improve its throughput?**

1. More capable modulation and/or bit transmission
   - Techniques like OFDM and MIMO

2. More bandwidth
   - Increase channel width at 2.4 Ghz and bigger 5 GHz (and now 6 GHz) channels

Walking through PHY changes by amendment

|	Protocol	Year	Frequency	PHY	Max Rate	Range
-	802.11	1997	2.4 GHz	DSSS/FHSS	2 Mbps	20 m
1	802.11b	1999	2.4 GHz	DSSS	11 Mbps	35 m
2	802.11a	1999	5 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	35 m
3	802.11g	2003	2.4 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	38 m
4	802.11n	2009	2.4/5 GHz	OFDM + MIMO	600 Mbps	70 m
5	802.11ac	2013	5 GHz	OFDM + MU-MIMO [downlink only]	3.4 Gbps	35 m
6	802.11.ax	2021	2.5/5 [/6] GHz	OFDMA + MU-MIMO	9.6 Gbps	35 m

Original WiFi specification (1997)

- Legacy WiFi
  - Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS)
  - GFSK (Gaussian Frequency-Shift Keying)
    - Relatively simple radio design
  - Frequency hopping over 80 channels (1 MHz each)
  - Actually supports an Infrared PHY as well!!

Peter A. Steenkiste

802.11b (1999)
First mass-market success

- ## 802.11b
  - Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum (DSSS)
  - DBPSK and DQPSK (Differential Binary/Quadrature Phase-Shift Keying)

- ## Translate data into "codes"
  - Each data bit corresponds to several code bits (Chips)
  - Chips are what is actually modulated over the air
 - Data can be recovered by knowing the code patterns

DSSS goals

- DSSS increases bandwidth of a signal
  - Beyond what is needed for the data
  - Energy is smeared across the frequencies

- More robust against interference
  - Narrowband signals knock out only part of the signal
  - Data can be recovered from partial code

- Cost: using a lot of bandwidth for only a little data

802.11b channels

- 14 channels total
  - 1-11 for US
  - 1-13 for most of the rest of the world
  - 1-14 for Japan (but 14 only for 802.11b)
- 22 MHz channels
  - 5 MHz spacing -> significant channel overlap
  - Channels 1, 6, and 11 can be used without overlap

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	Channel
2.412	2.417	2.422	2.427	2.432	2.437	2.442	2.447	2.452	2.457	2.462	2.467	2.472	2.484	Center Frequency (GHz)

22 MHz

Walking through PHY changes by amendment

	Protocol	Year	Frequency	PHY	Max Rate	Range
-	802.11	1997	2.4 GHz	DSSS/FHSS	2 Mbps	20 m
1	802.11b	1999	2.4 GHz	DSSS	11 Mbps	35 m
2	802.11a	1999	5 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	35 m
3	802.11g	2003	2.4 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	38 m
4	802.11n	2009	2.4/5 GHz	OFDM + MIMO	600 Mbps	70 m
5	802.11ac	2013	5 GHz	OFDM + MU-MIMO [downlink only]	3.4 Gbps	35 m
6	802.11.ax	2021	2.5/5 [/6] GHz	OFDMA + MU-MIMO	9.6 Gbps	35 m

OFDM enables higher throughput

- Replace DSSS with
  Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing

Recall DSSS

- OFDM idea
  - Split band into a number of narrow subcarriers
  - Subcarriers are spaced so that they don't interfere
  - Transmit on multiple subcarriers at once to increase throughput

OFDM enables higher throughput at complexity cost

- Receivers collect signal from entire channel
  - And then can split it apart to gain the data on each subcarrier

Frequency-Domain QAM → Transmitter IFFT → Time-Domain Sum of Sinusoids → Receiver FFT → Frequency-Domain QAM

Mapping QAM source data onto N orthogonal subcarriers · Summation of all N subcarrier sinusoids · Recover mapped QAM source data

- Tradeoffs
  - Benefits: more throughput, still robust against narrowband interference
  - Costs: more complicated and sensitive radio design

802.11a (1999)

- Applied OFDM techniques on the 5 GHz band
  - Enabled more data throughput 54 Mbps (compare to 11 Mbps for 802.11b)

- Multiple rates available
  - BPSK/QPSK/QAM over OFDM
  - Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (QAM)

- Never reached widespread adoption
  - Regulatory hurdles in some regions
  - More complicated hardware delayed it

RATE bits	Modulation type	Coding rate	Data rate (Mbit/s)[a]
1101	BPSK	1/2	6
1111	BPSK	3/4	9
0101	QPSK	1/2	12
0111	QPSK	3/4	18
1001	16-QAM	1/2	24
1011	16-QAM	3/4	36
0001	64-QAM	2/3	48
0011	64-QAM	3/4	54

802.11a channels

- ## 802.11a did promote the use of 5 GHz band
  - ### Several 20 MHz channels with no overlap
    - Big increase from "three" channels of 2.4 GHz

  - ### Various regional rules on a number of different channels
    - Needs to avoid frequencies in use by existing radar deployments
    - Orange channels aren't used in the US at least, except for enterprise

FCC Domain	UNII-1	UNII-2	UNII-2-Extended	UNII-3	ISM

WiFi Channel #: 36 40 44 48 | 52 56 60 64 | 100 104 108 112 | 116* 120 124 128 132* | 136 140 144 | 149 153 157 161 | 165

DFS Channels — Weather Radar

Channel Width 20 MHz

Walking through PHY changes by amendment

|	Protocol	Year	Frequency	PHY	Max Rate	Range
-	802.11	1997	2.4 GHz	DSSS/FHSS	2 Mbps	20 m
1	802.11b	1999	2.4 GHz	DSSS	11 Mbps	35 m
2	802.11a	1999	5 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	35 m
3	802.11g	2003	2.4 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	38 m
4	802.11n	2009	2.4/5 GHz	OFDM + MIMO	600 Mbps	70 m
5	802.11ac	2013	5 GHz	OFDM + MU-MIMO [downlink only]	3.4 Gbps	35 m
6	802.11.ax	2021	2.5/5 [/6] GHz	OFDMA + MU-MIMO	9.6 Gbps	35 m

802.11g (2003)

- Applies OFDM to 2.4 GHz band
  - Increases throughput from 11 Mbps to 54 Mbps
  - Repeats rate choices of 802.11a but on more support 2.4 GHz band

- Same 2.4 GHz channels as 802.11b, but 20 MHz bandwidth
  - Still 1, 6, 11 in US
  - 1, 5, 9, 13 in other regions

- Backwards compatible with 802.11b
  - Capable of DSSS communication when required

Truth or Fiction:
"An 802.11b device slows your whole network to b speed"

- Aka, should you have followed all the blogs telling you to do this:

- A: "Sort of", and "no"
  - When *active*, b devices slow networks simply because the occupy the channel
  - Cutting off your b devices doesn't cut off your neighbors
    - *Contention* [without coordination] is the bigger problem
  - On own network, routers are "b-aware", and can schedule around efficiently
    - At cost of "talking b" to everyone a little

Cost of supporting 802.11b

- 802.11g uses a completely different PHY layer than 802.11b
  - DSSS -> OFDM
  - Unintelligible to old receivers creating an interoperability problem

- Interoperability mode: send part of message in old format
  - DSSS header with OFDM payload
  - Adds overhead and slows down the entire network
    - Starting with 802.11n, routers don't support 802.11b by default

Allow legacy 802.11b rates ☐

Improved WiFi hardware is in high demand

- Typically, standards lead hardware by several years
  - BLE 5.2 is out, but 5.0 is just being adopted in phones

- Development of 802.11g hardware started *before* finalization of standard
  - Demand for increased performance was already high in 2003

- Phenomena continues in modern WiFi and Cellular protocols
  - Hardware supports some features as soon as it's clear they'll exist

Walking through PHY changes by amendment

|	Protocol	Year	Frequency	PHY	Max Rate	Range
-	802.11	1997	2.4 GHz	DSSS/FHSS	2 Mbps	20 m
1	802.11b	1999	2.4 GHz	DSSS	11 Mbps	35 m
2	802.11a	1999	5 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	35 m
3	802.11g	2003	2.4 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	38 m
4	802.11n	2009	2.4/5 GHz	OFDM + MIMO	600 Mbps	70 m
5	802.11ac	2013	5 GHz	OFDM + MU-MIMO [downlink only]	3.4 Gbps	35 m
6	802.11.ax	2021	2.5/5 [/6] GHz	OFDMA + MU-MIMO	9.6 Gbps	35 m

How do we increase throughput?

- Wired world
  - Add more wires in parallel

- Wireless world
  - Add more antennas?

How do we increase throughput?

- Water world
  - Fatter pipes

- Wireless world
  - Fatter channels

802.11.n — Y NOT BOTH?

MIMO – Multiple In Multiple Out

N transmit antennas   **M receive antennas**

- N x M subchannels can be used to send data simultaneously
  - Huge boost in data throughput
  - Antenna diversity adds to reliability as well

- The signals may interfere with each other
  - But receiving all of them allows the data to be recovered

- Beamforming
  - Use interactions between array of antennas to focus energy on the receiver
  - Way outside of the scope of this class

Expandable bandwidth

- OFDM allows many subcarriers within a channel to be used at once
 - Throughput scales with the amount of bandwidth available
  - Allow larger 40 MHz channels to be used

802.11g/n **(OFDM)** 20 MHz ch. width – 16.25 MHz used by sub-carriers

2.4 GHz | 2.4835 GHz | 2.5 GHz

Channel 1
2412 MHz

Channel 5
2432 MHz

Channel 9
2452 MHz

Channel 13
2472 MHz

802.11n **(OFDM)** 40 MHz ch. width – 33.75 MHz used by sub-carriers

2.4 GHz | 2.4835 GHz | 2.5 GHz

Channel 3
2422 MHz

Channel 11
2462 MHz

802.11n (2009)

- Supports OFDM and MIMO on 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz

- Supports 20 MHz and 40 MHz channels
  - Easier to create large channels in 5 GHz band

- Backwards compatible with 802.11g

- Wildly successful
  - Still the 2.4 GHz band protocol (802.11ac is 5 GHz only)
  - A little less than half of the networks visible to me are still 802.11n
  - Northwestern "building WiFi" is still 802.11g... — UCSD winning that one ☺

UCSD-PROTECTED 🔒

IP Address: 100.81.38.207
Router: 100.81.32.1
Security: WPA2 Enterprise
BSSID: a0:3d:6f:3a:ba:eb
Channel: DFS, 112 (5 GHz, 20 MHz)
Country Code: US
RSSI: -47 dBm
Noise: -96 dBm
Tx Rate: 260 Mbps
PHY Mode: 802.11ac
MCS Index: 9
NSS: 3

802.11n modulation and coding schemes

Modulation and coding schemes

MCS index	Spatial streams	Modulation type	Coding rate	Data rate (in Mbit/s)[a]			
				20 MHz channel		40 MHz channel	
				800 ns GI	400 ns GI	800 ns GI	400 ns GI
0	1	BPSK	1/2	6.5	7.2	13.5	15
1	1	QPSK	1/2	13	14.4	27	30
2	1	QPSK	3/4	19.5	21.7	40.5	45
3	1	16-QAM	1/2	26	28.9	54	60
4	1	16-QAM	3/4	39	43.3	81	90
5	1	64-QAM	2/3	52	57.8	108	120
6	1	64-QAM	3/4	58.5	65	121.5	135
7	1	64-QAM	5/6	65	72.2	135	150
8	2	BPSK	1/2	13	14.4	27	30
9	2	QPSK	1/2	26	28.9	54	60
10	2	QPSK	3/4	39	43.3	81	90
11	2	16-QAM	1/2	52	57.8	108	120
12	2	16-QAM	3/4	78	86.7	162	180
13	2	64-QAM	2/3	104	115.6	216	240
14	2	64-QAM	3/4	117	130	243	270
15	2	64-QAM	5/6	130	144.4	270	300
16	3	BPSK	1/2	19.5	21.7	40.5	45
17	3	QPSK	1/2	39	43.3	81	90
18	3	QPSK	3/4	58.5	65	121.5	135
19	3	16-QAM	1/2	78	86.7	162	180

MCS index	Spatial streams	Modulation type	Coding rate	Data rate (in Mbit/s)[a]			
				20 MHz channel		40 MHz channel	
				800 ns GI	400 ns GI	800 ns GI	400 ns GI
20	3	16-QAM	3/4	117	130	243	270
21	3	64-QAM	2/3	156	173.3	324	360
22	3	64-QAM	3/4	175.5	195	364.5	405
23	3	64-QAM	5/6	195	216.7	405	450
24	4	BPSK	1/2	26	28.8	54	60
25	4	QPSK	1/2	52	57.6	108	120
26	4	QPSK	3/4	78	86.8	162	180
27	4	16-QAM	1/2	104	115.6	216	240
28	4	16-QAM	3/4	156	173.2	324	360
29	4	64-QAM	2/3	208	231.2	432	480
30	4	64-QAM	3/4	234	260	486	540
31	4	64-QAM	5/6	260	288.8	540	600

MCS   Modulation and Coding Scheme
GI   Guard Interval: delay between transmitted symbols

Walking through PHY changes by amendment

	Protocol	Year	Frequency	PHY	Max Rate	Range
-	802.11	1997	2.4 GHz	DSSS/FHSS	2 Mbps	20 m
1	802.11b	1999	2.4 GHz	DSSS	11 Mbps	35 m
2	802.11a	1999	5 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	35 m
3	802.11g	2003	2.4 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	38 m
4	802.11n	2009	2.4/5 GHz	OFDM + MIMO	600 Mbps	70 m
5	802.11ac	2013	5 GHz	OFDM + MU-MIMO [downlink only]	3.4 Gbps	35 m
6	802.11.ax	2021	2.5/5 [/6] GHz	OFDMA + MU-MIMO	9.6 Gbps	35 m

"The MIMO Gap"

- Access points have 3-4 [or more now] antennas
- Client devices have 1-2 [or more now] antennas
  - While absolute numbers keep going up, trend holds
  - **Asymmetric design pattern** again: More complexity in the AP than clients
- Original MIMO was TDMA

Single-User MIMO
Sserves one device at a time

Multi-User MIMO
Multi-user beamforming (MUBF)
serves multiple devices simultaneously

– Spatial re-use multiplies available capacity (2-3X)
– Reduces wait time for all clients

Figure 1. SU-MIMO vs. MU-MIMO

Multi-user Multiple In Multiple Out (MU-MIMO)

MU-MIMO improves *average* performance across network as a whole

- Multi-user MIMO uses the same techniques to send in parallel to multiple devices
  - Devices cannot cancel out interference anymore
 - Send slower, more reliable data streams to overcome this

Figure 3. MU-MIMO delivers ~2.5x more throughput per device

802.11ac (2013)

- Update for 5 GHz band only
  - Supports Downlink MU-MIMO (from AP to device)
  - Supports channels widths up to 160 MHz
  - Engineering updates: up to 256-QAM

- Routers apply 802.11ac to 5 GHz and 802.11n to 2.4 GHz

802.11ac channels

802.11ac Channel Allocation (N America)

*Channels 116 and 132 are Doppler Radar channels that may be used in some cases.

© 2013 SecurityUncorked.com

802.11ac modulation and coding schemes

802.11ac - VHT   MCS, SNR and RSSI

VHT MCS	Modulation	Coding	20MHz Data Rate 800ns	20MHz Data Rate 400ns	20MHz Min. SNR	20MHz RSSI	40MHz Data Rate 800ns	40MHz Data Rate 400ns	40MHz Min. SNR	40MHz RSSI	80MHz Data Rate 800ns	80MHz Data Rate 400ns	80MHz Min. SNR	80MHz RSSI	160MHz Data Rate 800ns	160MHz Data Rate 400ns	160MHz Min. SNR	160MHz RSSI
1 Spatial Stream																		
0	BPSK	1/2	6.5	7.2	2	-82	13.5	15	5	-79	29.3	32.5	8	-76	58.5	65	11	-73
1	QPSK	1/2	13	14.4	5	-79	27	30	8	-76	58.5	65	11	-73	117	130	14	-70
2	QPSK	3/4	19.5	21.7	9	-77	40.5	45	12	-74	87.8	97.5	15	-71	175.5	195	18	-68
3	16-QAM	1/2	26	28.9	11	-74	54	60	14	-71	117	130	17	-68	234	260	20	-65
4	16-QAM	3/4	39	43.3	15	-70	81	90	18	-67	175.5	195	21	-64	351	390	24	-61
5	64-QAM	2/3	52	57.8	18	-66	108	120	21	-63	234	260	24	-60	468	520	27	-57
6	64-QAM	3/4	58.5	65	20	-65	121.5	135	23	-62	263.3	292.5	26	-59	526.5	585	29	-56
7	64-QAM	5/6	65	72.2	25	-64	135	150	28	-61	292.5	325	31	-58	585	650	34	-55
8	256-QAM	3/4	78	86.7	29	-59	162	180	32	-56	351	390	35	-53	702	780	38	-50
9	256-QAM	5/6			31	-57	180	200	34	-54	390	433.3	37	-51	780	866.7	40	-48
2 Spatial Streams																		
0	BPSK	1/2	13	14.4	2	-82	27	30	5	-79	58.5	65	8	-76	117	130	11	-73
1	QPSK	1/2	26	28.9	5	-79	54	60	8	-76	117	130	11	-73	234	260	14	-70
2	QPSK	3/4	39	43.3	9	-77	81	90	12	-74	175.5	195	15	-71	351	390	18	-68
3	16-QAM	1/2	52	57.8	11	-74	108	120	14	-71	234	260	17	-68	468	520	20	-65
4	16-QAM	3/4	78	86.7	15	-70	162	180	18	-67	351	390	21	-64	702	780	24	-61
5	64-QAM	2/3	104	115.6	18	-66	216	240	21	-63	468	520	24	-60	936	1040	27	-57
6	64-QAM	3/4	117	130.3	20	-65	243	270	23	-62	526.5	585	26	-59	1053	1170	29	-56
7	64-QAM	5/6	130	144.4	25	-64	270	300	28	-61	585	650	31	-58	1170	1300	34	-55
8	256-QAM	3/4	156	173.3	29	-59	324	360	32	-56	702	780	35	-53	1404	1560	38	-50
9	256-QAM	5/6			31	-57	360	400	34	-54	780	866.7	37	-51	1560	1733.3	40	-48
3 Spatial Streams																		
0	BPSK	1/2	19.5	21.7	2	-82	40.5	45	5	-79	87.8	97.5	8	-76	175.5	195	11	-73
1	QPSK	1/2	39	43.3	5	-79	81	90	8	-76	175.5	195	11	-73	351	390	14	-70
2	QPSK	3/4	58.5	65	9	-77	121.5	135	12	-74	263.3	292.5	15	-71	526.5	585	18	-68
3	16-QAM	1/2	78	86.7	11	-74	162	180	14	-71	351	390	17	-68	702	780	20	-65
4	16-QAM	3/4	117	130	15	-70	243	270	18	-67	526.5	585	21	-64	1053	1170	24	-61
5	64-QAM	2/3	156	173.3	18	-66	324	360	21	-63	702	780	24	-60	1404	1560	27	-57
6	64-QAM	3/4	175.5	195	20	-65	364.5	405	23	-62			26	-59	1579.5	1755	29	-56
7	64-QAM	5/6	195	216.7	25	-64	405	450	28	-61	877.5	975	31	-58	1755	1950	34	-55
8	256-QAM	3/4	234	260	29	-59	486	540	32	-56	1053	1170	35	-53	2106	2340	38	-50
9	256-QAM	5/6	260	288.9	31	-57	540	600	34	-54	1170	1300	37	-51			40	-48

4 spatial streams is also allowed, getting up to 3466 Mbps

Walking through PHY changes by amendment

	Protocol	Year	Frequency	PHY	Max Rate	Range
-	802.11	1997	2.4 GHz	DSSS/FHSS	2 Mbps	20 m
1	802.11b	1999	2.4 GHz	DSSS	11 Mbps	35 m
2	802.11a	1999	5 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	35 m
3	802.11g	2003	2.4 GHz	OFDM	54 Mbps	38 m
4	802.11n	2009	2.4/5 GHz	OFDM + MIMO	600 Mbps	70 m
5	802.11ac	2013	5 GHz	OFDM + MU-MIMO [downlink only]	3.4 Gbps	35 m
6	802.11.ax	2021	2.5/5 [/6] GHz	OFDMA + MU-MIMO	9.6 Gbps	35 m

New directions in WiFi focus:
Aggregate throughput across all devices

- For point-to-point, WiFi is "(more than) fast enough"
- Now the problem is the quantity of devices in a single space
  - Pat's desk: iMac, iPad x2, iPhone, Apple Watch, Kindle…

- Insight: Bring established cellular techniques to WiFi

OFDM vs OFDMA
Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing vs. Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access

- Net spectrum usage ~the same

- In same time slot, assign sub-carriers to different users
  - Effect: Lower bandwidth per user, but more simultaneous users

- This is the same strategy cellular "resource blocks" use
  - Called "Resource Units" in WiFi

802.11.ax

- Adds OFDMA
  - AP schedules Resource Units to associated stations (clients)
- Uplink MU-MIMO
  - Enabled by Resource Unit scheduling
- Adds 6 GHz spectrum option

- Other stuff
  - Target Wake Time (TWT) from 802.11ah (HaLow; a 900 MHz tech) – low power
  - More aggressive MCS schemes, up to 1024-QAM
  - More intelligent fragmentation scheme, [... and more]

Reminder: WiFi technology (and to some extent cellular) a unicorn – HW support rolls out *before* specification

Standard Finalized: Sep 2020
Standard Ratified: Feb 2021

Release: Sep 2019 →

Model	802.11ax	802.11v	802.11r	802.11k
iPhone 13 Pro Max	✓	✓	✓	✓
iPhone 13 Pro				
iPhone 13				
iPhone 13 mini				
iPhone 12 Pro Max	✓	✓	✓	✓
iPhone 12 Pro				
iPhone 12				
iPhone 12 mini				
iPhone 11 Pro Max	✓	✓	✓	✓
iPhone 11 Pro				
iPhone 11				
iPhone Xs Max		✓	✓	✓
iPhone Xs				
iPhone XR				
iPhone X				

Outline

- WiFi Overview

- WiFi PHY
  - 802.11/802.11b
  - 802.11a/802.11g
  - 802.11n/802.11ac
  - "WiFi 6" (ax)
  - Read-World WiFi

Goal: improve throughput

- In twenty years, WiFi has gone from 2 Mbps to 9.6 Gbps
- **How does a network improve its throughput?**

1. More capable modulation and/or bit transmission
   - Techniques like OFDM and MIMO
     - Original 2 Mbps -> 54 Mbps with OFDM -> 346 Mbps with MIMO **(100x)**
     - Engineering improvements are baked into these steps too

2. More bandwidth
   - Increased channel with at 2.4 Ghz and bigger 5 GHz channels
     - 346 Mbps with 20 MHz -> 3466 Mbps with 160 MHz **(10x)**

Bit rate adaptation

- All modern WiFi standards support multiple bit rates (MCS)

- Many factors can influence the choice of bit rate
  - Capability of device: not all devices support all bit rates
  - Range and packet reliability (interference)

Bit rate adaptation

- Selecting the right rate at the right time is a complex problem
  - And needs to be decided per-device

  - Trial and Error
    - Failures -> reduce rate
    - Successes -> increase rate

  - Signal strength
    - Use channel state information to decide

  - Context sensitive
   - Mobile devices (usually) need lower rates

Real-world 802.11 channel use – 5 GHz

- Devices use 80 MHz channels almost entirely
  - One network using 40 MHz channel

- No use of the more complicated bands

- **Why is no one using channel 165?**

Real-world 802.11 channel use – 5 GHz

- Devices use 80 MHz channels almost entirely
  - One network using 40 MHz channel

- No use of the more complicated bands

- **Why is no one using channel 165?**
  - That would be a 20 MHz channel
 - And can't be added on its own

Real-world 802.11 channel use – 2.4 GHz

- Most networks use 20 MHz channels 1, 6, or 11
  - Just use 5 GHz for faster speeds

- Several networks create 40 MHz allocations

Real-world 802.11 channel use – some routers are weird

- Some networks are weird

- Why make a 40 MHz allocation centered on channel 6??!

- Some 20 MHz networks use channels 2, 9, or 10

Next time: WiFi MAC